TEXAS AVENUE CORRIDOR STUDY PROPERTY INVENTORY SURVEY FORM GROUP 5

| Property ID: | R22115 |
|--------------|--------|
| | |

Property Information

| property address: | 601 N WASHINGTON | |
|--|---|---|
| legal description: | CITY OF BRYAN, BLOCK 31 | 1. LOT 1-3 (PTS OF) |
| owner name/address: | TWIN CITY MISSION INC | |
| | PO BOX 3490 | |
| | BRYAN, TX 77805-3490 | |
| full business name: | | |
| land use category: | <u> </u> | |
| current zoning: | / | occupancy status: <u>()(()</u> . |
| lot area (square feet): | 14651 | frontage along Texas Avenue (feet): |
| lot depth (feet): | 35 | sq. footage of building: 5/26 |
| property conforms to: | min. lot area standards | s 🗆 min. lot depth standards to min. lot width standards |
| Improvements | | |
| # of buildings: | building height (fe | et):# of stories: |
| type of buildings (spec | cify): <u>/// C.K.</u> | |
| building/site condition | 1: | |
| buildings conform to r | minimum building setback = 26/000 5:6e=10/ | s: ges to (if no, specify) Par |
| | | essible to the public: pes no |
| | | dewalks along Texas Avenue: yes no N/A |
| other improvements: | yes no (specify) | Libert IPACL |
| - // | V I V | (pipe fences, decks, carports, swimming pools, etc.) |
| Freestanding Signs | | |
| □ yes □/no | | □ dilapidated □ abandoned □ in-use |
| # of signs: | type/material of sign: | |
| overall condition (spec | | |
| removal of any dilapid | ated signs suggested? □ ye | es \square no (specify) |
| Advertisers of the second seco | F & | |
| Off-street Parking | No. | |
| improved: □ yes □ no | parking spaces striped | : □ yes □ no # of available off-street spaces: |
| lot type: asphalt | concrete other | |
| space sizes: | 5 | sufficient off-street parking for existing land use: yes no |
| | | |
| end islands or bay divid | ders: □ yes □ no: | landscaped islands: □ yes □ no |

| how many: | curb types: standard curbs curb ramps curb curb cut closure(s) suggested? |
|---|--|
| if yes, which one | es: |
| meet adjacent se | paration requirements: yes no meet opposite separation requirements: yes |
| Landscaping | |
| yes 🗆 no comments: | (if none is present) is there room for landscaping on the property? yes no Showly, thees |
| Outside Storage | |
| □ yes dono (| specify)(Type of merchandise/material/equipment stored) |
| dumpsters prese | nt: □ yes p no are dumpsters enclosed: □ yes □ no |
| Miscellaneous | |
| is the property a | djoined by a residential use or a residential zoning district? |
| | The state of the s |
| ∱yes □ no | (circle one) residential use residential zoning district |
| range yes □ no is the property d | (circle one) residential use residential zoning district evelopable when required buffers are observed? ✓ □ yes □ no |
| f yes □ no is the property d if not developab | (circle one) residential use residential zoning district evelopable when required buffers are observed? If yes no no le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| yes a no is the property d if not developab | (circle one) residential use residential zoning district evelopable when required buffers are observed? If yes no le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| if not developab | (circle one) residential use residential zoning district evelopable when required buffers are observed? ✓ ☐ yes ☐ no le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| if not developab | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| accessible to allo | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| accessible to allo | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| accessible to allo | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| accessible to allo | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |
| accessible to allo | le to current standards, what could help make this a developable property? |